



2021 Civil Pro Bono Panel Annual Report



Corral by Frank Mechau, 1937; Byron G. Rogers Federal Building & Courthouse; courtesy of the General Services Administration

U.S. District Court - District of Colorado

Presented by the [Standing Committee on Pro Se Litigation](#) of the U.S. District Court

[Contents](#)

Overview	2
Faculty of Federal Advocates – the Civil Pro Bono Panel’s Partner	6
The Federal Court Prison Litigation Handbook	7
2021 Pro Bono Case Data.....	8
2021 Pro Bono Panel Member Data.....	14
2021 U.S. District Court Pro Bono Honor Roll	17

[Overview](#)

A Tradition of Access to Justice

The U.S. District Court, District of Colorado’s Civil Pro Bono Panel program is approaching its tenth-year anniversary in 2023. The program began as a pilot project of the U.S. District Court – in conformity with local rule [D.C.COLO.LCivR 1.1\(h\)](#) – and was modeled after the District of Columbia federal court’s pro bono program. Colorado’s Panel program continued the longstanding tradition of the court in placing emphasis on access to justice and improving legal advocacy skills, as shown by its support of – and participation in – the Counsel/Co-counsel pro bono program of the [Faculty of Federal Advocates](#).

Other opportunities for federal pro bono service include the following:

[Federal Pro Se Clinic:](#)

Besides the rewarding pro bono case opportunities available through the Civil Pro Bono Panel, please remember that legal advice / limited representation appointment opportunities are available through the court’s [Federal Pro Se Clinic](#), operated by the Colorado Bar Association. Volunteer registration information is available [here](#). If interested in learning more about the

Colorado Federal Pro Se Assistance Project

.....

The Colorado Bar Association Federal Pro Se Clinic assists unrepresented litigants in the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado. The clinic helps to prepare complaints and responsive pleadings, provides assistance with other common pleadings, answers procedural questions, and explains legal concepts such as jurisdiction, venue, and service of process. Our focus is on civil procedure – navigating the court system.



Before you call or come into the clinic, fill out our intake form

[Click Here to Get Started>](#)



Complete this form and bring it with you to your Pro Se Assistance Clinic appointment

[Complete Limited Scope Agreement>](#)



Explore pro se resources

[Click Here for Pro Se Information and Forms>](#)

Clinic, please contact the Clinic's Staff Attorney Jane Andrews at jandrews@cobar.org, or contact Matthew Skeen, Jr. at mskeen@cobar.org for information about the Federal Pro Se Bankruptcy Clinic; both programs are funded by the U.S. District Court and Bankruptcy Court bar members. For more on the programs, please see Magistrate Judge Kristen L. Mix's article about the Clinic – "[Federal Pro Se Clinic in Colorado Helps the Public Navigate Our Federal Courts](#)" – in the IAALS [Institute for the Advancement of the American Legal System] online blog.

Federal Limited Appearance Program (FLAP):

The Federal Limited Appearance Program (FLAP) is a volunteer-driven program developed by the United States District Court for the District of Colorado and the Colorado Bar Association Young Lawyers Division ("CBA YLD"). As described by CBA-YLD,

"FLAP is designed to bridge the gap between the limited scope, out-of-court services provided by the Federal Pro Se Clinic and the full-scope pro bono representation facilitated by the Civil Pro Bono Panel. Specifically, FLAP aims to address the difficulty pro se parties in civil litigation oftentimes face in dealing with procedural and other non-dispositive issues in "real time" during a court appearance by providing those litigants with limited representation by a volunteer attorney before, during, and/or immediately after scheduled appearances in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado, such as Scheduling and Status Conferences, Discovery Conferences, and other Non-Dispositive Hearings."



Please visit FLAP's YouTube link and the program's Introductory Video:
[https://youtu.be/ PwMCvdlWAI](https://youtu.be/PwMCvdlWAI)

Important Pro Bono Opportunities Across the State:

Besides the U.S. District Court's three approaches of assisting pro se litigants through pro bono services, the Standing Committee also wishes to remind all U.S. District Court bar members that the "continuum" of pro bono opportunities available to fulfill the obligation of pro bono representation are extensive through the state. Our partners in the state court and the statewide and local bar associations offer many choices, one of which is Colorado Legal Services' (CLS) [Volunteer Opportunities](#). CLS provides legal help for low-income Coloradans seeking assistance with civil legal needs.

The Denver Bar Association's [Metro Volunteer Lawyers Referral Program](#) is somewhat similar to the Civil Pro Bono Panel program, with an emphasis on common state court matters:

"Metro Volunteer Lawyers (MVL), formerly the Thursday Night Bar, provides pro bono civil legal services in the Denver Metro Area to those in need who are living at or below 200% of federal poverty guidelines. MVL is co-sponsored by the Adams/Broomfield,

Arapahoe, Denver, Douglas/Elbert, and First Judicial District Bar Associations. MVL assists with the following types of cases through a variety of programs: Family Law, Elder Law, Probate, Consumer and Financial, Bankruptcy, Public Benefits, and Housing.”

The Colorado Bar Association has two programs that may help you get free assistance from a lawyer for your appeal:

The Civil Appeals Clinic: Self-represented parties meet with volunteer lawyers for a confidential, one-hour meeting. Meetings are free of charge and may be held by video call or in person.

The Appellate Pro Bono Program: A committee selects a small number of cases in which a volunteer lawyer handles all remaining aspects of the appeal at no charge.

The Colorado Judicial Branch’s **Colorado Legal Help Center** provides information about statewide programs such as those above, and also offers resource information and website links to **local bar associations** that sponsor their own pro bono programs – see, for example, the Larimer County Pro Bono program: <https://www.larimerbar.org/pro-bono/>.

Civil Pro Bono Panel Program Procedure

The court’s Standing Committee on Pro Se Litigation¹ – comprised of judges, court staff, and representatives of organizations that have interests in pro se issues – developed the Civil Pro Bono Panel program so that it would provide pro se litigants wider access to the federal court bar’s commitment to providing pro bono services.

The Panel program places a special focus on allowing counsel to be appointed at all stages of a case and not limited to the traditional stepping-in-at-trial pro bono representation for pro se parties who had survived initial merit review and dispositive motions. During the Counsel/Co-counsel program, court staff would be called on to enlist counsel to commit to acting as trial counsel with only a month or so’s notice. While many lawyers and firms did actually enter appearances on short notice, the Standing Committee agreed that it is often a pro se party’s initial complaint with serious or unique allegations, or a layperson’s difficulties in pretrial matters, that make it apparent that pro bono counsel should be available at all stages of a case. This also has the practical effect of broadening the scope of pro bono counsel’s training experience by engaging in all aspects of pretrial matters and discovery.

The basic premise of the Civil Pro Bono Panel, and what makes it a viable and realistic tool for attorneys, is the voluntariness of case assignments – counsel who are asked to review a



Faculty of Federal Advocates Pro Bono Programs [Webpage](#)

¹ See [D.C.COLO.LAttvR 15 - Civil Pro Bono Representation](#) for the full text of the Civil Pro Bono Panel rule - including the Standing Committee’s charge and composition; pro se party eligibility; judicial, clerk’s office, and pro bono attorney roles in the appointment procedure; expectations of pro bono counsel; reimbursement policy; and attorney fee agreements.

case are not obliged to accept it, and no penalty ensues for declining a case. On joining the Panel, a member commits to the idea that they will be randomly called at some point to serve as pro bono counsel, while at the same time allowing the attorney to “opt out” from the types of cases that do not match the attorney’s preferences – and the attorney has the freedom to decline a case. The Faculty of Federal Advocates supports attorneys who agree to pro bono representation through the program by providing malpractice insurance, reimbursement of certain costs, and periodic training seminars on pro bono-related topics.

Information about Pro Bono Opportunities

For access to the Civil Pro Bono Panel local rule, application, guides, forms, newsletters and previous annual reports, please visit the court’s Civil Pro Bono Panel page on the U.S. District Court website, available by clicking [HERE](#)²: Joining the Civil Pro Bono Panel provides a number of benefits, including:

- access to a monthly list of available Panel cases,
- a free PACER login to view cases and documents,
- CLE credits, and
- the malpractice coverage and reimbursement of costs provided by the FFA (funded from attorney bar fees collected by the court).

The screenshot shows the official website of the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. The header includes the court's name and the names of the Chief Judge and Clerk of Court. A navigation bar contains links to various court functions. The main content area is titled "Civil Pro Bono Panel - Details, and Available Cases" and features a prominent announcement about a handbook for incarcerated litigants. Below this, there are links for downloading applications, motions, and guides, as well as newsletters.

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF COLORADO
Hon. Philip A. Brimmer, *Chief Judge*
Jeffrey P. Colwell Esq., *Clerk of Court*

Our mission is to serve the public by providing a fair and impartial forum that ensures equal access to justice in accordance with the rule of law, protects rights and liberties of all persons, and resolves cases in a timely and efficient manner.

SEARCH OUR SITE

Civil Pro Bono Panel - Details, and Available Cases

Judicial Officers ▾ Court Operations ▾ Juror Information ▾ Attorney Information ▾ Representing Yourself ▾

Civil Pro Bono Panel - Details, and Available Cases

PERMANENT PROGRAM FOR COURT APPOINTMENT OF PRO BONO COUNSEL

ANNOUNCEMENT – THE FEDERAL COURT PRISON LITIGATION HANDBOOK IS NOW AVAILABLE FOR ALL ATTORNEYS INTERESTED IN REPRESENTING INCARCERATED LITIGANTS

Application for Attorneys to Join the Civil Pro Bono Panel and Sample Motions:

- Download Civil Pro Bono Application
- Download Local Rule LAttyR 15 - Civil Pro Bono Representation
- Download Civil Pro Bono Panel Description
- Download Attorney Motion for Panel Appointment
- Link to Other Civil Pro Bono Panel Attorney Forms

Handbooks, Instructions, and Guides:

- Download Federal Court Prison Litigation Handbook for Attorneys
- Download Limited Representation Guide

"Panel Periodical" Newsletters:

- Download Spring 2019 Civil Pro Bono Panel "Panel Periodical" Newsletter
- Download Winter 2019 Civil Pro Bono Panel "Panel Periodical" Newsletter

Even if you choose not to join the Panel program, please be aware that the Civil Pro Bono Panel page also lists pro bono opportunities available to any U.S. District Court bar member.

² <http://www.cod.uscourts.gov/AttorneyInformation/CivilProBonoPanel-Details,andAvailableCases.aspx>

Faculty of Federal Advocates – the Civil Pro Bono Panel’s Partner

Under [Local Attorney Rule 15\(i\)](#), a member of the Panel providing representation to an unrepresented can seek reimbursement of certain costs from the Panel Reimbursement Fund. The Fund, managed by the FFA on behalf of the Court, provides reimbursement for expenses incurred by litigants represented by attorneys assigned through the Panel. The FFA provides an annual report to the U.S. District Court and certifies that Reimbursement Fund monies, periodically transferred from the court’s attorney admission fees, are managed and maintained in restricted accounts that are federally insured, interest-bearing, and kept strictly separate from FFA’s general operating accounts. For reimbursement decisions, the FFA has exclusive, final, non-appealable authority over the funds available to it for reimbursement of litigation expenses.


Reimbursable Costs and Expenses:

1. Experts: Reasonable hourly rate up to \$7,500 if necessary, requires pre-approval.
2. Investigators: \$100/hr.
3. Depositions: The reasonable costs of depositions. Attorneys are encouraged to schedule depositions through the Colorado Court Reporters Association Pro Bono Program. Contact Carmen Murphy at 303-522-1604 or Carmen.Murphy@outlook.com
4. Transcripts: Actual costs for original and copy.
5. Travel: Out-of-state only if pre-approved. Zoom and telephone depositions are encouraged.
6. Photocopies: \$.20 per page.
7. Long Distance Calls: Actual charges.

Secretarial time, overhead, legal research, faxes, local travel, meals, and office supplies, and similar expenses are not reimbursable.

Reimbursable Costs & Expenses Under the FFA Program–Visit [HERE](#)

Besides managing the Reimbursement Fund, as directed by court rule, the FFA’s mission also includes funding specialized pro bono training for interested practitioners, “[P]romoting pro bono service while ensuring that participants have the necessary support and training to make valuable contributions.” Periodically the FFA hosts, supports, and organizes Continuing Legal Education classes and more comprehensive “In Service” seminars to improve advocacy skills for all members. In 2021, the FFA hosted the CLE “**In the Trenches with the Pro Bono Panel: How to Litigate a Pro Se Plaintiff’s Section 1983 Claim From**



Appointment to Verdict” with Panelists Kevin Homiak, Esq. of Homiak Law LLC (and Civil Pro

Bono Panel member), Andrew Ringel, Esq. of Hall & Evans, LLC (opposing counsel in a Sec. 1983 case) and Magistrate Judge Kristen L. Mix. A video of that CLE is available on the FFA website [HERE](#).

The Federal Court Prison Litigation Handbook

A project of the Standing Committee on Pro Se Litigation, the Prison Litigation Handbook “Provides procedural and substantive information for pro bono attorneys representing incarcerated people in civil actions in the District of Colorado.” Contributors to the Handbook include prison litigation specialists from the Civil Pro Bono Panel, contributors from the Faculty of Federal Advocates’ Pro Bono Committee, court staff, and input from members of the Standing Committee. The Committee thanks all the contributors for their efforts, and hopes the Handbook will be a useful tool for Civil Pro Bono Panel members who volunteer for prison litigation cases.

The Prison Litigation Handbook covers such topics as the Pro Bono Appointment process, procedural and substantive approaches to a new case, the mechanics of prison litigation including discovery and trial, the forms of relief and the Prison Litigation Reform Act, settlement issues, and additional resources available for litigators.

The Handbook is available on both the [Civil Pro Bono Panel](#) page of the U.S. District Court’s website – with approval from the district judges of the court – as well as on the Faculty of Federal Advocates [Pro Bono Programs](#) page. Of course, the contents of the Handbook are for informational purposes only, and do not constitute legal advice.



FEDERAL COURT PRISON LITIGATION HANDBOOK

Fall 2021 Edition

This handbook provides procedural and substantive information for pro bono attorneys representing incarcerated people in civil actions in the District of Colorado.

Contributors to this Handbook:

Laura Rovner
Nora Q.E. Passamaneck
Dan Shaffer
Danielle C. Jefferis
Alec Gibson, CU Fellow Scholar for U.S. Magistrate
Judge Kristen L. Mix
Nicole Salamander-Irby
Edward Butler

Photo of Colorado State Penitentiary courtesy of the Colorado Department of Corrections website

2021 Pro Bono Case Data

Pro Bono Appointment Orders


From the Cases with Orders Entered in 2021	Panel History from 2013
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Total Number of Appointment Orders Entered - 78	Total Number of Appointment Orders Entered - 443
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Successful Placement of Counsel – 49	Successful Placement of Counsel – 302
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Success Rate of Placing Pro Bono Counsel: 63%	Success Rate of Placing Pro Bono Counsel: 68%

78 orders of appointment of pro bono counsel were entered in 2021; of those 78, in **49** of the cases lawyers and law firms stepped forward and assisted pro se litigants “of limited financial means” (a 63% success rate). Besides the sizable amount of donated attorney fee hours and considerable funds spent in pursuit of favorable outcomes on behalf of their clients, the lawyers in those 49 cases fulfilled the expectation set forth in the Recommended Model Pro Bono Policy for Colorado Licensed Attorneys and Law Firms:



Preface. Providing pro bono legal services to persons of limited means and organizations serving persons of limited means is a core value of Colorado licensed attorneys enunciated in Colorado Rule of Professional Conduct 6.1.

Rule 6.1 - Voluntary Pro Bono Publico Service, Colo. RPC 6.1


A survey of case information presents the following:




At what stage of cases are appointment orders, and by whom? The Pretrial and Discovery time interval is predominantly the stage at which the court will enter an appointment order, and most often it is a magistrate judge who has been delegated making pretrial determinations (under [LCivR 72.1\(c\)](#)), and recognizes the pro se litigant's need for representation, who enters the order.



- **Appointment Orders Resulting in Pro Bono Representation:** While a significant majority of cases in which pro bono representation was sought consisted of prison litigation (74%), there was still a variety of causes of action in which Panel lawyers stepped forward to assist.




- **Unsuccessful Securing of Counsel:** Despite best efforts of court staff and all the support services of law firm pro bono coordinators, Panel members distributing the clerk’s “Monthly List of Available Cases” and law firm / legal organization recruiting drives, not all the 78 cases in 2021 could be placed – there were **29** unplaced cases.




- **Overall Causes of Action / Types of Claims Available as Pro Bono Cases:** Conforming to the standard pattern of typical causes of action in which pro bono appointment orders are entered, listed below are graphical representations of the four principal areas.


Prison Litigation Claims:




Employment Claims:



Civil Rights Claims:



Other Claims:




2021 Pro Bono Panel Member Data


As always, Civil Pro Bono Panel members contributed countless hours, fees, expenses (if not reimbursed or partially reimbursed by the Faculty of Federal Advocates Reimbursement Fund), and overall participation in pursuit of fulfilling the laudable goal of assisting the unrepresented.

The U.S. District Court Civil Pro Bono Panel is currently composed of **139** individual lawyers – associates, partners, non-profit organization and government layers – and **41** Law Firms/Organizations, from multinational firms to solo practitioners. For a list of the 2021 pro bono volunteers, see the “**Honor Roll**” at the end of this report. Below are some relevant graphics that demonstrate who the Panel lawyers represented, and in what capacity.


- **Law Firm Size.** The variety of law firm sizes who accepted pro bono representation in 2021. The Panel program appreciates all law firms, but in 2021 the individual practitioners who participated made the most sizable impact.



- **Limited Representation.** The court's existing limited representation local rules – [LAttyR 2 and 5](#) – encourage Panel lawyers to assist pro se litigants with discrete tasks, and was in operation in several cases, allowing lawyers to do such things as amending a complaint or taking depositions. 2021 was also a year that witnessed a growth in use of the court's [Federal Limited Assistance Program](#), making in-court appearances before judicial officers for conferences and hearings (scheduling, status, discovery, and settlement) a reality.



- **Client on Which Side of the “v.” ?** Many times law firms are unable to represent pro se litigants in certain subject matter areas, or may only be able to represent defendants instead of plaintiffs, in general. In the Panel program, representation of defendants is a recurring need and reality, and many times those defendant are small business owners.



Special Recognition in 2021: Though all volunteers should and will be [celebrated](#) for their volunteer efforts and commitment, special thanks are due to these attorneys for responding to the court’s call for pro bono representation in 20-cv-01795, Tahlil Johnson v. Denver Sheriff’s Dept., and 32 other consolidated cases. Johnson and the partner cases addressed prison condition issues related to exposure and jail policies related to Covid-19. Though 17 of the cases were eventually dismissed after plaintiffs were released from detention and no longer pursued legal action, these lawyers – law firm members and solo practitioners – joined together to represent the detainees:

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Levin Sitcoff PC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bradley Levin, Nelson Waneka, Robyn Clarke
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Azizpour Donnelly LLC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Katayoun Donnelly
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rick D. Bailey, Esquire 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rick Bailey
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highlands Law Firm LLC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Zachary Warren, Annika Adams

VOLUNTEER MEDIATORS STILL AVAILABLE FOR PANEL PRISONER CASES

The Standing Committee is pleased to announce that pro bono mediation is available for both non-prisoner and prisoner Panel cases, thanks to the Pro Bono Mediator Panel (Jane Michaels of Holland & Hart and Scott Barker of Wheeler Trigg O'Donnell, co-chairs) who have agreed to accept such cases, and also thanks to Magistrate Judge Michael E. Hegarty, who has offered his insights and agreed to shepherd the volunteer mediators.

If you have a current Pro Bono Panel case, prisoner or non-prisoner, and believe the case is ripe for mediation, please contact Edward Butler, Legal Officer at 303-335-2043 or e-mail COD_ProBonoPanel@cod.uscourts.gov. There is no charge for mediation, and the Court and the mediators are flexible as to schedules, locations, and availability.

2021 U.S. District Court Pro Bono Honor Roll

Below is a list of counsel who accepted representation on a pro bono basis during 2021, including the specific case number/name and cause of action.

Case:	Cause of Action:	Law Firm:	Attorneys:
20-cv-01248-RM-NRN Nicholas Jason Hall v. Reams et al	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 550 Prisoner – Civil Rights	Wheeler Trigg O'Donnell LLP	Jennifer Oxley, Natalie Colao, Judith Youngman, Frederick Yarger
20-cv-02765-RBJ-MEH, Xingfei Luo v. Paul Wang	Diversity Jurisdiction / Personal Injury - Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	Wheeler Trigg O'Donnell LLP	Clarissa Collier, David Schaller
20-cv-03370-RM-SKC, Antonio McCowan v. Bayaud Enterprises, Inc.	42:2000 Job Discrimination (Race)	Goodspeed & Merrill LLC	John-Paul Sauer, Lukasz Gilewski
20-cv-03345-RMR-NYW, Maria Montoya v. Colorado Department of Corrections	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 550	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Noble Law Firm, LLC and Fox & Robertson 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samantha Taylor Ivy

	Prisoner – Civil Rights	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Brown Goldstein & Levy, LLP Civil Rights Education and Enforcement Center (CREEC) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amy Robertson Eve Hill Martha Lafferty
21-cv-00387-CMA-NRN, Darlene Griffith v. El Paso County Colorado	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 555 Prison Conditions	Killmer Lane & Newman LLP	Andrew McNulty, Mari Newman
21-cv-01567-RBJ, Melanie R. Camacho v. State Farm Insurance Company	42:12111 - Americans With Disabilities Act – Employment	Glade Voogt Lopez Smith P.C.	Andrew Felser, Rebecca Master, Edward Shepyer
20-cv-00328-RMR-STV, Manuel Joseph McGee v. Gabriel Pacheco Correctional Officer, et al.	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 550 Prisoner – Civil Rights	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Davis Graham & Stubbs LLP Scott Douglas & McConnico LLP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kirstin Arthur Phillip Nickerson
20-cv-02179-RBJ-MEH, Arthur Walker v. Knapic, et al.	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 550 Prisoner – Civil Rights	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Snell & Wilmer LLP Davis Graham & Stubbs LLP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Elizabeth Michaels Kelsey Johnson, Kyler Burgi
18-cv-01763-MEH, Vail Summit Resorts, Inc. v. Zip-Flyer, LLC et al	28:1332 Diversity-Breach of Contract	Perkins Coie LLP	Roderick O'Dorisio, Marcus Haggard, Michael Sink, L. Norton Cutler
1:19-cv-01012-DDD-KLM, Stephen Haff v. Dawn Dawson, Dr.	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 550 Prisoner – Civil Rights	Mulligan Breit McConnell LLC	Luke McConnell
20-cv-01524-RBJ, Richard Wolf v. Meadow Hills III Condominium Association et al	42:3601 Fair Housing Act // 446 Civil Rights: Americans with Disabilities - Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kazazian & Associates LLC Daniel R. Shaffer, LLC Leon Cosgrove, LLP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nina Kazazian Daniel Shaffer Gregory Scott Carter
20-cv-01795, Tahlil Johnson v. Denver Sheriff's Dept., consolidated with other cases	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 555	Levin Sitcoff PC	Bradley Levin, Nelson Waneka, Robyn Clarke

	Prison Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Azizpour Donnelly LLC • Rick D. Bailey, Esquire • Highlands Law Firm LLC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Katayoun Donnelly • Rick Bailey • Zachary Warren, Annika Adams
21-cv-01000-RM-NRN, Trenton Orendorf v. Office of Behavioral Health, et al.	42:1983 Civil Rights Act // 440 Civil Rights: Other	Red Law	Matthew Buck
19-cv-02338-PAB-STV Rodney A. Smith v. Shy, et al	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 550 Prisoner – Civil Rights	Novo Legal Group, L.L.C	Luis Cortes-Romero
19-cv-02183-NYW Nelson v. Cardinelli et al.	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 550 Prisoner – Civil Rights	Ballard Spahr LLP	Matthew Morr, Michael Schuster, Mudasar Khan
20-cv-00694-PAB-NYW Mostafa Kamel Mostafa v. Barr, et al.	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 550 Prisoner – Civil Rights	Paul Wolf, Attorney at Law	Paul Wolf
20-cv-01094-RBJ-NRN Lesley T. Owens v. USA, C. LEWIS, Counselor, and MANSFIELD, Case Manager.	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 550 Prisoner – Civil Rights	Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	Laurence (Trip) DeMuth, Christopher Casolaro, Hannah Carter, Alexandra Benton, Zachary Kachmer
21-cv-00986-WJM-MEH Gabriela Wright v. Douglas County School District	42:2000 Job Discrimination (National Origin)	David Lichtenstein Law Office	David Lichtenstein, Matthew Molinaro
20-cv-03547-MEH Yan Wang v. Miyako, Inc and Xiangxing Lin	29:215 Fair Labor Standards Act	Law Office of Brian Green	Brian Green
20-cv-03698-RMR-SKC Carlos Pinto-Rios v. Tyler S. Brown, et al.	42:1983 Civil Rights Act // 440 Civil Rights: Other	Megan M. Curtiss & Associates	Megan Curtiss (G.A.L.)
19-cv-01158-RMR-STV Russel Boles v. Colorado Dept. of Corrections, et al.	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 550 Prisoner – Civil Rights	Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP	Martha Fitzgerald, Sean Cuff
18-cv-01112-RM-SKC James Ralph Dawson v. Coleman et al	42:1983 Prisoner Civil	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Red Law Firm 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Matthew Buck

	Rights // 550 Prisoner – Civil Rights	• Reuben & Quillen LLC	• Julia L. Morgenthau
13-cv-02894-SKC Jason Brooks v. Colorado Department of Corrections , et al.	42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights // 550 Prisoner – Civil Rights	Homiak Law, LLC	Kevin Homiak
21-cv-01581-WJM-NRN Ruby Michelle Smith v. Family Restaurants Inc., d/b/a Village Inn	42:2000e Job Discrimination (Sex)	• Hennessy PLLC • Kruse Law PLLC	• Stephen H. Hennessy • Mirko L. Kruse

Also, please note that these attorneys accepted cases through the court's Federal Limited Appearance Program (FLAP):

Case:	Cause of Action:	Law Firm:	Attorneys:
20-cv-03789-MEH, Nicole M. Fanning v. Ulta Salon, Cosmetics & Fragrances Inc.	42:2000 Job Discrimination (Sex)	Spark Justice Law LLC	Laura Wolf
21-cv-02693-MEH Carmen Criner v. PPF AMLI 10020 Trainstation Circle, LLC et al	42:3601 Fair Housing Act	Holland & Hart LLP	Austin Jensen
20-cv-01638-CMA-SKC Valentine v. James River Insurance Company et al	28:1332 Diversity-Insurance Contract	Ogborn Mihn, LLP	James Fogg
19-cv-03244-DDD-KLM Singey v. Boulder Valley School District	42:12101 Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA)	Timmins Law LLC, Greenspoon Marder LLP	Alan Schindler
19-cv-01056-CMA-GPG Biro et al v. Smith et al	28:2201 Declaratory Judgment – Real Property	Timmins Law, LLC, Greenspoon Marder LLP	Alan Schindler
20-cv-02690-KLM Maskaeva v. Portercare/Porter Adventist Health System	42:2000e Job Discrimination (Sex)	Davis Graham & Stubbs LLP, Scott Douglas & McConnico LLP	Philip Nickerson
20-cv-03686-SKC Gonzalez v. Englewood Lock and Safe, Inc.	42:1983 Civil Rights (Employment Discrimination – Sex)	Williams Weese Pepple & Ferguson PC	Spencer Allen
20-cv-03751-STV Gentile v. Colorado State University	42:12101 Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA)	Allen Vellone Wolf Helfrich & Factor P.C.	Jeremy Jonsen
21-cv-01581-WJM-NRN Smith v. Family Restaurants Inc.	42:2000e Job Discrimination (Sex)	Michelle Aiken - Sole Practitioner	Michelle Aiken