1 of 13

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 1:24-cv-02461

KURTIS KLEPSA,

Plaintiff

v.

PROSTHETIC & ORTHOTIC GROUP PEDIATRIC SPECIALISTS - COLORADO LLC, a Colorado limited liability company,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Kurtis Klepsa, by and through his attorneys, Miller & Law, PC, and for his Complaint and Jury Demand against the Defendant, Prosthetic & Orthotic Group Pediatric Specialists – Colorado LLC, states as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This action is brought to redress the Defendant's violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. §§2000e–2000e-17. Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant engaged in unlawful employment practices by terminating his employment on the basis of Plaintiff's sincerely held religious views, in violation of Title VII.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 626(c), and Section 706 of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of Title VII, as amended. The unlawful employment practices alleged herein were committed within the jurisdictional boundaries of the United States District Court for the District of Colorado and venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3).

ADMINISTRATIVE PREREQUISTIES

- 2. Prior to filing this Complaint, Plaintiff complied with all procedural prerequisites for bringing this lawsuit. On April 23, 2019 Plaintiff timely filed a charge of employment discrimination with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC").
- 3. On May 16, 2019, the EEOC issued to Plaintiff a Notification & Acknowledgement of Dual-Filed Charge that indicates that Plaintiff's charge of employment discrimination "will be dual-filed with the Colorado Civil Rights Division."
- 4. By correspondence dated September 28, 2023, Plaintiff was issued a Notice of Right to Sue by the EEOC, pursuant to which Plaintiff was given 90 days within which to file a lawsuit regarding his charge of employment discrimination (the "90-day Filing Window").
- 5. Through successive agreements of the parties, Plaintiff's 90-day Filing Window was tolled until September 6, 2024.
- 6. As this Complaint has been filed within 90 days of Plaintiff's receipt of the Notice of Right to Sue as the 90-day Filing Window was subsequently tolled by the parties he has satisfied all procedural prerequisites for suing Defendant in federal court under 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(1).

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff Kurtis Klepsa ("Mr. Klepsa") is an individual resident of Colorado with a residential address of 19364 E. Prentice Place, Centennial, CO 80015.
- 8. Defendant Prosthetic & Orthotic Group Pediatric Specialists Colorado LLC ("P&O") is a Colorado limited liability company with an office located at 384 Norfolk Street, Aurora, CO 80011.
- 9. P&O is affiliated or is under common ownership with a California corporation called Prosthetic & Orthotic Group, Inc. whose registered agent is Glenn R. Matsushima ("Mr.

Matsushima"); Prosthetic & Orthotic Group, Inc. maintains an office at 2669 Myrtle Ave., Ste. 101, Signal Hill, CA 90755.

- 10. For purposes of the instant litigation, P&O qualifies as an "employer" under Title VII. 42 USC § 2000e(b)
 - 11. As of October 2018, P&O was engaged in an industry affecting commerce.
- 12. P&O employed 15 or more employees for at least 20 calendar weeks during calendar years 2017 or 2018.
- 13. Upon information and belief, during 2018, P&O employed no fewer than 23 persons in Colorado (the "P&O Colorado Staff") and no fewer than 32 persons in California (the "P&O California Staff").
- 14. Upon information and belief, as of November 1, 2018, the P&O Colorado Staff included the following persons:

Carita Backman (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist)
Jenny Bell (Accounts Receivable)
Kevin Burton (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist)
Liz Bush (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist)
Todd Bush (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist)
Jerry Cline (Lab Technician)
Gabriella Gonzalez (Patient Services Coordinator)
Michelle Harper (Accounts Receivable Manager)
Dave Hessler (Technician)
Ashley Huskey (Patient Service Coordinator)
Kurtis Klepsa (Certified Orthotist)
Eric Neufield (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist)

Lizz Peterson (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist)
Sara Pogue (Fabrication Scheduler)
Jordan Prindle (Certified Prosthetist)
Laura Rodriguez (Patient Services Coordinator)
Connie Smith (Patient Services Coordinator)
Greg Smits (Certified Orthotist)
Guinevere Tripp (Patient Service Coordinator)
Pete Waugaman (Lead Technician)
John Wright (Certified Orthotist)
Craig Yatsu (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist)
Emily Zoltai (Technician)

- 15. As of July 8, 2019, P&O's Internet website, which included contact information for Prosthetic & Orthotic Group, Inc., listed the 23 persons identified in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint as being among the staff at P&O's six (6) Colorado "Office Locations".
- 16. Upon information and belief, as of November 1, 2018, the P&O California Staff included the following persons:

Claudia Aguilar (Patient Services Coordinator) Linda Matlock (Contracting Coordinator) Irma Aguilar (Patient Services Coordinator) Glenn Matsushima (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist) Emilia Avina (Patient Services Coordinator) Miki Matsushima (Accounts Payables) David Cooney (PT/Certified Prosthetist Orthotist) Edward Matthews (Technician) Lorena Bautista (Patient Service Coordinator/Tech) Kate Muller (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist) Susie Cortez (Accounts Receivable Specialist) Kathleen Orozco (Patient Services Coordinator) Stephen Gaw (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist) Rudy Parada (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist) Kevin Fujikami (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist) Laura Pineda (Patient Service Coordinator) Alyssa Goldberg (Prosthetist/Orthotist) Kris Reed (Human Resources Coordinator) Brittany Gonzalez (Certified Orthotist/Prosthetist) Mark Rodriguez (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist) Peter Ho (Certified Orthotist) Alina Skwarcan (Prosthetist/Orthotist) Sarah Hoffman (Accounts Payables) Vince Snider (Lead Technician) Laurie Hurtado (Patient Services Coordinator) Mark Somoza (Certified Prosthetics Technician) Paul Kanzawa (Certified Orthotist) Jack Soulis (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist) Sonia Marlow (Operation Director) Lilybeth Urieta (Patient Services Coordinator) Chad Marquis (Certified Prosthetist Orthotist) Larry Wong (Certified Prosthetist)

17. As of July 8, 2019, P&O's Internet website listed the 32 individuals identified in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint as being among the staff at its seven (7) Southern California "Office Locations".

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 18. Mr. Klepsa was hired at P&O on October 2, 2018 as a Certified Orthotist; his employment was terminated on or about November 2, 2018.
- 19. The P&O representative who interviewed Mr. Klepsa, hired Mr. Klepsa and issued Mr. Klepsa's exit paperwork, Mr. Matsushima, was listed on P&O's Internet website as being among P&O's staff at its Southern California "Office Locations".
- 20. Mr. Matsushima who identified himself to Mr. Klepsa as being an "owner" of P&O was not listed on P&O's Internet website as being among the staff at P&O's Colorado "Office Locations."
- 21. Upon being hired by P&O, Mr. Klepsa submitted a request for reasonable accommodation of his sincerely held religious beliefs in the form of an exemption from the required influenza vaccine (the "Religious Accommodation Request").

- 22. Mr. Klepsa's sincerely held religious beliefs preclude him from receiving the Influenza vaccination.
- 23. In particular, as Mr. Klepsa explained in presenting his Religious Accommodation Request, he was asserting his right to a religious exemption from the Influenza vaccination, as being grounded in his deeply held Christian beliefs.
- 24. Mr. Klepsa further explained in his Religious Accommodation Request that he firmly believes that his body is a temple of the Holy Spirit, as stated in 1 Corinthians 3:16-17, and must be safeguarded from any substances that could defile it.
- 25. Accordingly, Mr. Klepsa's deeply held personal religious belief is deeply rooted in the scriptural teachings that emphasize the sanctity of the human body.
- 26. Mr. Klepsa further believes, pursuant to his sincerely held religious beliefs, that vaccines, which contain harmful additives and substances derived from aborted fetal cells, are in direct violation of the religious teachings that he holds sacred, teachings which govern his life.
- 27. Mr. Klepsa considers such components of the vaccine to be unclean and defiling, as reiterated in 1 Corinthians 8:7 and 2 Corinthians 7:1, which instruct him to keep his body and spirit pure.
- 28. The presence of these substances in vaccines contradicts Mr. Klepsa religious commitment to maintaining bodily sanctity, a principle deeply embedded in Mr. Klepsa's faith.
- 29. Moreover, the term "pharmacy" originates from the Greek "pharmakia," which is associated with sorcery and witchcraft in the New Testament, which are practices that Mr. Klepsa's faith strongly condemns.
- 30. This association further aligns vaccines with elements deemed unacceptable by Mr. Klepsa's deeply held personal religious beliefs, as in his view, they are linked to actions that lead

to spiritual deception and separation from God, as mentioned in Galatians 5:21 and Revelation 18:23.

- 31. Mr. Klepsa's commitment to these beliefs is not superficial, but is a profound conviction that guides all aspects of his life, including health practices.
- 32. Mr. Klepsa adheres to natural means of maintaining health, which aligns with the biblical mandate to treat the body as a sacred temple.
- 33. Mr. Klepsa's request for exemption was made not out of convenience but out of a necessity to live in accordance with the divine laws that govern his faith and actions.
- 34. Mr. Klepsa made his sincere request in the hope of honoring God's will and maintaining the integrity of his deeply held religious beliefs.
- 35. In presenting his Religious Accommodation Request, Mr. Klepsa utilized the specific form for such purposes that was provided by Children's Hospital of Colorado ("CHCO"), the facility where P&O rents space.
- 36. The form that Mr. Klepsa presented to CHCO as part of his Religious Accommodation Request was entitled "Non-Medical Religious Declination of Seasonal Influenza Vaccination."
- 37. Mr. Klepsa previously had been allowed this very accommodation at his previous employment at Denver Health Medical Center.
- 38. Unbeknownst to Mr. Klepsa, CHCO approved the Religious Accommodation Request on October 5, 2018, based on Mr. Klepsa's religious beliefs.
- 39. On October 12, 2018, Mr. Klepsa's supervisor at P&O, Greg Smits ("Mr. Smits"), informed him that CHCO (through Michelle Plum of the Rehab Department) was requiring that Mr. Klepsa receive the Influenza vaccine, or else Mr. Klepsa would need to "go home."

- 40. As the only P&O Group employee who was being subjected to this requirement, Mr. Klepsa understood that he would be unable to work at CHCO until his Religious Accommodation Request had been approved and a badge had been issued.
- 41. Mr. Klepsa was shocked to learn that CHCO had stopped the on-boarding process until he received the Influenza vaccine, because he had understood that CHCO was still evaluating the Religious Accommodation Request (a CHCO human resources representative named Teresa Baca had previously informed Mr. Klepsa that his Religious Accommodation Request had to go through several people for approval), and because the CHCO form that he utilized specifically anticipates that CHCO may grant an exemption to receiving the influenza vaccine based on a personal belief or religious exemption.
- 42. The CHCO form provides that "[i]f there is a valid medical contraindication for influenza vaccine or if there is a personal belief/religious exemption to influenza vaccine the team member must fill out and sign a declination form to be approved by Medical Director, Occupational Health for medical exemptions and by HR for personal belief/religious exemptions. Team member must wear a mask at all times when in any CHCO building/area for the duration of the influenza season."
- 43. In reference to the requirement that Mr. Klepsa receive the Influenza vaccine, Mr. Smits explained to Mr. Klepsa that "they" were "probably going to play hardball on this one."
- 44. Following his October 12, 2018 conversation with Mr. Smits, Mr. Klepsa went home on unpaid administrative leave to wait for the exemption to be approved.
- 45. While Mr. Klepsa was on unpaid administrative leave, he explored acceptable alternatives that might satisfy P&O and CHCO.
 - 46. Mr. Klepsa contacted Mr. Matsushima to ask for permission to work in a different

P&O location until his badge had been approved.

- 47. Mr. Matsushima declined the request on behalf of P&O, regarding which Mr. Smits explained that he "didn't want an employee attracting negative attention" to the company at CHCO.
- 48. P&O deemed Mr. Klepsa's Religious Declination request to be something that would attract negative attention to the company.
- 49. Three days later, on October 15, 2018, Mr. Smits called Mr. Klepsa to report that the situation had changed; Mr. Smits explained that he had called Ms. Plum in the Rehab Department shortly after Mr. Klepsa left on October 12 to let her know that Mr. Klepsa had decided to go home.
- 50. According to Mr. Smits, Ms. Plum called him back about an hour later to let him know that CHCO's HR Department was "done" with Mr. Klepsa; per Mr. Smits, Ms. Plum had explained that if Mr. Klepsa was not going to get the shot, then CHCO was not going to continue processing him into its facility.
- 51. Mr. Smits explained to Mr. Klepsa that he (Mr. Klepsa) was perceived as being "too high maintenance."
- 52. Mr. Smits stated to Mr. Klepsa that "they don't want someone running around wearing a mask for six months."
- 53. Mr. Smits further stated to Mr. Klepsa that if he [Mr. Klepsa] received the immunization, Mr. Smits would talk to CHCO's HR Department to see if CHCO would still allow Mr. Klepsa to work in its facility.
- 54. On October 17, 2018, Mr. Klepsa met with a coworker, Craig Yatsu, who indicated that people were "banging down the door" to get a job like the one that Mr. Klepsa had, and he

advised Mr. Klepsa not to "cause waves."

- 55. Mr. Yatsu mentioned to Mr. Klepsa that "we could lose our contract," and noted that "the shot is free" and that Mr. Klepsa "should just get it."
- 56. With an eye toward his financial obligations to his family, although he felt thoroughly coerced, in order to keep his job, Mr. Klepsa relented and agreed to receive the FluMist nasal vaccine for influenza immunization for the 2018-2019 that the CDC had approved.
- 57. Still, Mr. Klepsa felt strongly that he had compromised on his values, and he worried about what his children would think of his decision.
- 58. Mr. Klepsa contacted the Occupational Health Department at CHCO to verify that the FluMist nasal vaccine was an accepted form of the Influenza vaccination.
- 59. Mr. Klepsa thereafter contacted several pharmacies in the area, whereupon he learned that the FluMist vaccine had not yet arrived.
- 60. Mr. Smits then informed Mr. Klepsa that he had a meeting scheduled with CHCO's HR Department on October 30, 2018 to discuss Mr. Klepsa's employment.
- 61. Still feeling coerced and with an even greater fear of losing his job, Mr. Klepsa decided to get the preservative free version of the flu shot.
- 62. On October 29, 2018, the day before Mr. Klepsa was to receive the vaccine (October 30, 2018), however, Mr. Matsushima contacted Mr. Klepsa and told him not to get the shot until after the meeting with CHCO's HR Department.
- 63. Mr. Matsushima also directed Mr. Klepsa not to engage in further contact with CHCO.
- 64. On November 2, 2018 Mr. Matsushima informed Mr. Klepsa that he [Mr. Klepsa] was being discharged because his Religious Accommodation Request had "jeopardized" the

pg

relationship between P&O and CHCO.

- 65. Mr. Matsushima stated to Mr. Klepsa that he "regretted not doing his due diligence."
- 66. According to Mr. Smits, Mr. Klepsa's Religious Accommodation Request had attracted "negative attention," and that P&O needed to end Mr. Klepsa's employment as a result.
- 67. Mr. Smits remarked that Mr. Klepsa "must have known" that CHCO would require a flu shot; Mr. Klepsa responded that he [Mr. Klepsa] had not anticipated that this would be an issue, as Denver Health Hospital had for many years always approved his religious flu exemption without issue.
- 68. Mr. Smits stated that he had discussed Mr. Klepsa's situation with the other P&O employees, and that everyone had agreed that they did not want the negative attention associated with Mr. Klepsa not getting the flu shot.
- 69. On November 6, 2018 Mr. Matsushima emailed to Mr. Klepsa "Exit Paperwork" from P&O, which indicated that Mr. Klepsa's termination was due to "Questions of current requirements" (i.e. his request for Non-Medical Religious Declination of Seasonal Influenza Vaccination) having "jeopardized POG's relationship with Children's Hospital".
- 70. Mr. Klepsa was the victim of religious discrimination that directly resulted in the wrongful termination of his employment.
- 71. P&O's termination of Mr. Klepsa's employment was directly motivated by discriminatory animus, specifically as a result of Mr. Klepsa having presented his Religious Accommodation Request to CHCO several weeks earlier.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Discrimination Based on Religion in Violation of Title VII

72. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully Case No. 1:24-cv-02461-GPG-TPO Document 1 filed 09/06/24 USDC Colorado 11 of 13

pg

set forth herein.

73. Defendant, either directly or by and through its agents, discriminated against Plaintiff because of his religious beliefs.

- 74. During Plaintiff's employment, Defendant, and its agents, engaged in unlawful discriminatory employment practices against Plaintiff with respect to the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment based on his religious beliefs.
- 75. Defendant's unlawful employment practices include, without limitation, the direct perpetuation of discrimination of Plaintiff, Defendant's failure to protect Plaintiff from discrimination at the hands of management and coworkers, Defendant's disparate treatment of Plaintiff from similarly-situated colleagues in making its decision to terminate his employment, and Defendant's termination of Plaintiff's employment, all of which denied Plaintiff equal terms and conditions of employment and otherwise adversely affected his employment status because of his religious beliefs.
- 76. Indeed, as set forth, *infra*, on November 2 and 6, 2018 P&O directly and indirectly referenced Mr. Klepsa's request for reasonable accommodation of his sincerely held religious beliefs in the form of an exemption from the required influenza vaccine in the context of explaining the basis for its termination of Mr. Klepsa's employment.
- 77. Accommodating Mr. Klepsa's sincerely held religious beliefs to allow him to decline to receive the Influenza vaccination would not have imposed an undue hardship on P&O.
- 78. Both the Denver Health Medical Center and the CHCO engage in protective measures compliance through which these facilities implement necessary protective measures that mandate non-vaccinated healthcare workers to wear masks in the presence of patients during the flu season.

pg

- 79. Plaintiff has consistently adhered to such protective measures, ensuring the safety and well-being of all patients under his care.
 - 80. Defendant is liable for the acts and/or omissions of its agents and employees.
- 81. Defendant's unlawful employment practices complained of in the foregoing paragraphs were undertaken intentionally, maliciously, and/or with reckless indifference to Plaintiff's federally protected rights.
- 82. As a consequence of Defendant's illegal conduct, Plaintiff suffered, and continues to suffer, substantial injuries and damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in his favor and against the Defendant, and that he be awarded the following relief, to the fullest extent allowed by law:

- i. Actual monetary damages for all injuries suffered by Plaintiff in an amount to be determined at trial, including but not limited to, damages for lost past and future wages and employment benefits;
- ii. Punitive and compensatory damages on all claims allowed by law and in an amount to be determined at trial;
- iii. Attorneys' fees and the costs associated with this action, including expert witness fees, on all claims allowed by law;
- iv. Pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest lawful rate; and
- v. Any further relief that this court deems just and proper, and any other relief as allowed by law.

pg

JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted this 6th day of September 2024.

MILLER & LAW, PC

/s/ David J. Meretta

David J. Meretta, No. 44409 1900 W. Littleton Blvd. Littleton, CO 80120 (303) 722-6500 (303) 722-9270 fax djm@millerandlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Case No. 1:24-cv-02461-GPG-TPO Document 1-1 filed 09/06/24 USDC Colorado

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20) District of Colorado

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	ΓS					
Kurtis Klepsa (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Aranahoe				Prosthetic & Orthotic Group Pediatric Specialists - Colorado LLC						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Arapahoe (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				THE TRACE OF LANDING CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF Attorneys (If Known)						
	, Miller & Law, PC,			Amy Miletich, Miletich PC, 717 17th Street, Ste. 1510,						
Blvd., Littleton, C	CO 80120, 303-722	-6500		Denver, CO 8	80202 (30	3) 825-5500				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF . For Diversity Cases Onl			Place an "X" in and One Box for I			
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)			PTF DE		incipal Place	PTF 4	DEF 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2	2 Incorporated and I of Business In A		5	5	
	_			n or Subject of a eign Country	3	3 Foreign Nation		6	6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			I FO	DEFITUDE/DENALTV		ere for: Nature of S		STATUT:		
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		RFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure		Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False C			
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER' 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	710 720 740 75: 79:	LABOR D Fair Labor Standards Act D Labor/Management Relations Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION P Naturalization Application Other Immigration Control of the property of		Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS Copyrights Patent Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application Frademark Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 PIAL SECURITY HIA (1395ff) Black Lung (923) DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) SSID Title XVI RSI (405(g)) PERAL TAX SUITS Faxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) RS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	376 Qui Ta 3729(a 400 State R 410 Antitru 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Deport 470 Racket Corrup 480 Consun (15 US 485 Teleph Protec 490 Cable/3 850 Securit Exchai 890 Other S 891 Agricu 893 Enviro 895 Freedo Act 896 Arbitra 899 Admin Act/Re	m (31 USC b)) teapportion ist and Bankin erce ation eer Influen t Organizal mer Credit SC 1681 or one Consu tion Act Sat TV ies/Commenge Statutory A Itural Acts summental M m of Inforn tion istrative Pr view or Ap / Decision tutionality	mment ng need and tions 1692) mmer odities/ actions fatters mation	
	n One Box Only) moved from 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop		sferred from ther District	6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct F	n -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Title VII of the Civil Rig Brief description of ca	atute under which you ar hts Act of 1964, 42 USC ause: ation in violation of Title V	2000e-17	o not cite jurisdictional s	statutes unles:	s diversity):		AP Dock	et	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		complai	nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DO0	CKET NUMBER				
DATE September 6, 2024		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ΓORNEY O	F RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE			

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action	
UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
	_ District of
Plaintiff(s) V.))))) (
Defendant(s)) _))
	S IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summons are the United States or a United States agency, or an P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff a	on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. in answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default wi You also must file your answer or motion with the co	
	CLERK OF COURT

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

ceived by me on (date)	·		
☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual at	t (place)	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or us	sual place of abode with (name)	
	, a person	of suitable age and discretion who re	esides there,
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	ne individual's last known address; or	r
☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
designated by law to a	accept service of process on behal		
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the sumn	nons unexecuted because		; or
☐ Other (specify):			
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is	is true.	
		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: